2

3

5

6

9

11

12

13

18

22

AntiCancer, Inc., by and through its counsel, alleges for its Complaint against Pfizer, Inc. and Does 1-10, inclusive as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) 7 since the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of \$75,000.00 and is between citizens of different states.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district under pertinent law, including, inter alia, 10 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff AntiCancer, Inc. ("AntiCancer") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and having as its principal place of business San Diego, California. AntiCancer has developed patented imaging techniques used by 15 cancer researchers to test new anti-cancer drugs. AntiCancer licenses this (and other) 16 technology to both large and small research organizations, including several major pharmaceutical companies.
- 4. Defendant Pfizer, Inc. ("Pfizer") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and having as its principal place of business New York City, 20 New York. In or around 2009, Pfizer wholly acquired Wyeth Pharmaceuticals ("Wyeth"), including Wyeth's Pharmaceuticals Division.
- 5. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, representative or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues them by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to show the true names and capacities of said defendants when they are ascertained. 26 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that each of the defendants named as 27 a Doe, along with the named defendants, is responsible in some manner for the occurrences 28 herein alleged, and that plaintiff's injuries herein alleged were legally or proximately caused

by said defendants. Wherever it is alleged that any act or omission was also done or committed by any specifically named defendant, or by defendants generally, plaintiff intends thereby to allege, and does allege, that the same act or omission was also done and committed by each and every defendant named as a Doe, and each named defendant, both separately and in concert or conspiracy with the named defendants.

6. At all times mentioned herein, defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, co-conspirators, or employees of one another, and the acts and omissions herein alleged were done or suffered by them, acting individually and through or by their alleged capacity, within the scope of their authority. Each of the defendants aided and abetted and rendered substantial assistance in the accomplishment of the acts complained of herein. In taking the actions, as particularized herein, to aid and abet and substantially assist in the commission of the misconduct complained of, each defendant acted with an awareness of his, her or its primary wrongdoing and realized that his, her or its conduct would substantially assist in the accomplishment of that misconduct and was aware of his, her or its overall contribution to, and furtherance of the conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct. Defendants' acts of aiding and abetting included, *inter alia*, all of the acts each defendant is alleged to have committed in furtherance of the conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct complained of herein.

FACTS

- 7. On December 22, 2006, AntiCancer and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals entered into a technology licensing agreement ("License Agreement"). Certain portions of the License Agreement may contain confidential information. AntiCancer will seek leave of the Court to enter the License Agreement into the record under seal.
- 8. The License Agreement required AntiCancer to provide a limited, non-exclusive license to certain of its patented technologies, in exchange for Wyeth paying an initial fee of \$250,000 and annual maintenance fees of \$150,000 each. The annual maintenance fees are due on the December 22 anniversary of the License Agreement, and payable within fifteen

28

6

13

19

20

23

24

13 14

15

18

23 24

27

(15) days of that date, i.e., January 6. Wyeth paid the initial fee, and for the next two years paid the required yearly maintenance fees.

- The License Agreement also required AntiCancer to supply Wyeth with certain 9. of its technical information ("Know-How"), cancer cell lines ("Cell Lines"), and mouse models ("Animal Models"). AntiCancer performed all of its requirements under the License Agreement.
- 10. In or around 2009 Wyeth was acquired as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Pfizer. In December 2009, Pfizer affirmed its obligation to perform all of Wyeth's obligations under the License Agreement by paying the third annual maintenance fee.
- On December 8, 2010, Beth E. Rosado, a Pfizer Operations Associate, sent an 11. email to AntiCancer in which she stated "I am in the process of drafting a termination letter for a license agreement between Wyeth (now Pfizer, Inc.) and AntiCancer, Inc. dated December 22, 2006." (The December 8 email is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1).
- On December 15, 2010, Ms. Rosado again emailed AntiCancer, this time 12. attaching what she described as a "termination letter." (The December 15 email and its attached letter are attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 2).
- The "termination letter" attached to Ms. Rosado's December 15 email was 13. signed by Robert T. Abraham, Ph.D., Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer of Pfizer's Center for Integrative Biology and Biotherapeutics. Dr. Abraham's letter purported to be dated December 8, 2010. In it, Dr. Abraham stated that "Pursuant to Section 9.2 of the [December 22, 2006] Agreement, Pfizer hereby gives notice of termination of the Agreement. The Agreement shall therefore terminate on December 8, 2010." (Page 2 of Exhibit 2).
- 14. Section 9.2 of the License Agreement permits the Licensee to terminate the agreement "upon thirty (30) days prior written notice to Licensor." Pfizer's notice, whether deemed to be made on December 15 (the date it was received by AntiCancer) or December 8 (the date Pfizer purports the License Agreement to terminate), was given too late to relieve Pfizer of its obligation to pay the annual maintenance fee.

24

- 15. On information and belief, Pfizer knowingly and fraudulently backdated its termination letter in an attempt to induce AntiCancer to believe Pfizer had actually complied with the termination notice requirements. Pfizer did this for the sole purpose of unfairly withholding from AntiCancer the 2010 maintenance fee AntiCancer is entitled to under the License Agreement.
- 16. Despite demands by AntiCancer, Pfizer has failed to pay the annual maintenance fee that was due no later than January 6, 2011.
- 17. Section 9.5 of the License Agreement provides that upon termination by the Licensee under Section 9.2, "all rights and licenses granted to Licensee hereunder shall immediately terminate and all rights to the Licensed Technology shall revert to Licensor." Under the License Agreement "Licensed Technology" includes the rights, *inter alia*, to the Know-How, Cell Lines, and Animal Models provided by AntiCancer.
- 18. Pfizer has not returned to AntiCancer any of the Know-How, Cell Lines, or Animal Models, as required upon termination of the License Agreement.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract – License Agreement)

- 19. AntiCancer realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 18.
- 20. There exists a valid technology licensing agreement between AntiCancer and Pfizer, dated and effective December 22, 2006 (the "License Agreement").
- 21. AntiCancer has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required by it on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the License Agreement.
- 22. Pfizer has breached the License Agreement by failing to pay the annual maintenance fee of \$150,000 due no later than January 6, 2011.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Pfizer's failure to pay the maintenance fee as required under the License Agreement, AntiCancer has been damaged in the amount of at least \$150,000.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2

(Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

3

24. AntiCancer realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 23.

5

25. Implied in the License Agreement is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

6

26. Pfizer has breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by attempting to avoid the notice requirements of the termination rights contained in the License

8

Agreement through a bad faith and fraudulent backdating of its purported termination notice.

9

27. Pfizer's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing was intentional and made with the specific purpose of unfairly and improperly withholding the

11

benefits due to AntiCancer under the License Agreement.

to attorneys fees and expenses.

12

28. As a direct and proximate result of Pfizer's breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, AntiCancer has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, in addition

14

13

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

15 16

(Unjust Enrichment)

17

29. AntiCancer realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth preceding paragraphs 1 through 28.

18 19

30. Pfizer has obtained valuable intellectual and physical property from AntiCancer, including but not limited to, Know-How, Cell Lines, and Animal Models (the "Licensed Technology").

21

22

31. Pfizer has obtained the Licensed Technology at AntiCancer's expense and efforts, and as a result of AntiCancer's good faith performance under the License Agreement.

2324

32. Upon Pfizer's termination of the License Agreement, any and all of Pfizer's rights to the Licensed Technology terminated and all rights to the Licensed Technology reverted back to AntiCancer.

26 27

33. Pfizer has not returned any of the Licensed Technology or made monetary restitution of its value. Pfizer's unjustified and continuing detention of the Licensed

28

COMPLAINT

1	Technology constitutes unjust enrichment, and the circumstances are such that in equity and								
2	onscience Pfizer should return the Licensed Technology or make monetary restitution								
3	its value, in an amount to be proven at trial. PRAYER FOR RELIEF								
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF								
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff AntiCancer prays for relief as follows: (1) That the Court order Pfizer to pay AntiCancer's direct damages in the amount of								
6	(1) That the Court order Pfizer to pay AntiCancer's direct damages in the amount of								
7	\$150,000 and indirect damages according to proof at trial;								
8	(2) That the Court award AntiCancer its attorneys fees incurred by it in prosecuting								
9	this action;								
10	(3) That the Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs of suit								
11	Pfizer, and award such interest and costs to AntiCancer; That the Court order Pfizer to return all wrongfully detained property of cer or award monetary restitution of the value thereof; and								
12	 (4) That the Court order Pfizer to return all wrongfully detained property of Cancer or award monetary restitution of the value thereof; and (4) That AntiCancer have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just 								
13	iCancer or award monetary restitution of the value thereof; and (4) That AntiCancer have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just								
14	(4) That AntiCancer have such other and further relief as this Court may deem just								
15	and proper.								
16									
17	Respectfully submitted,								
18									
	Dated: January 19, 2011 ANTICANCER, INC.								
20									
21									
22	By: s/Matt Valenti Matt Valenti								
23	Attorney for Plaintiff ANTICANCER, INC.								
24									
25									
26									
2728									
40	·								
	COMPLAINT								

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY AntiCancer hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues triable by jury. Respectfully submitted, 10 Dated: January 19, 2011 ANTICANCER, INC. s/Matt Valenti By: Matt Valenti
Attorney for Plaintiff ANTICANCER, INC. COMPLAINT

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

From: Rosado, Beth [mailto:Beth.Rosado@pfizer.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, December 08, 2010 10:41 AM

To: all@anticancer.com **Subject:** Termination letter

I am in the process of drafting a termination letter for a license agreement between Wyeth (now Pfizer, Inc.) and AntiCancer, Inc dated December 22, 2006.

Can someone please verify whether there is someone in addition to Dr. Hoffman who should receive the letter?

Thank you Beth

Beth E. Rosado CIBB BioTherapeutics R&D Pfizer, Inc. 401 N. Middletown Rd., 200/4502 Pearl River, NY 10965 P: (845) 602-4568 F: (845) 474-3264 beth.rosado@pfizer.com

please don't print this e-mail unless necessary

EXHIBIT 2

From: "Rosado, Beth" <Beth.Rosado@pfizer.com> To: "AntiCancer, Inc." <team.acct@yahoo.com> **Sent:** Wed, December 15, 2010 8:56:46 AM

Subject: RE:

Please see the attached termination letter for the License agreement with AntiCancer. A copy is being sent on to Dr. Robert Hoffman.

Thank you, Beth



please don't print this e-mail unless necessary

From: AntiCancer, Inc. [mailto:team.acct@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 09, 2010 1:26 PM

To: Widbin, Karen Cc: Rosado, Beth

Subject:

Dear Customer:

Please find attached the invoice for the annual renewal of our license agreement. We would appreciate payment by 12/22/2010.

Thanks very much,

AntiCancer, Inc. 858-654-2555

To view your invoice

Open the attached PDF file. You must have Acrobat® Reader® installed to view the attachment.

Beth E. Rosado Operations Associate 401 N. Middletown Road Pearl River, NY 10965 845-602-4568 tel. 845-474-3264 fax Beth.Rosado@pfizer.com



Pfizer Inc 235 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017

December 8, 2010

Robert M. Hoffman, Ph.D. President AntiCancer, Inc. 7917 Ostrow Street San Diego, CA 92110

Re: Termination of License Agreement between Pfizer Inc and AntiCancer dated December 22, 2006 (the Agreement")

Dear Dr. Hoffman:

It has been determined that the license granted to Pfizer under the Agreement is no longer needed. Pursuant to Section 9.2 of the Agreement, Pfizer hereby gives notice of termination of the Agreement. The Agreement shall therefore terminate on December 8, 2010. If there are any questions or concerns, please contact Beth Rosado.

Regards,

Robert T. Abraham, Ph.D.

Robert T. Colon

VP and CSO, Center for Integrative Biology and Biotherapeutics

Pfizer Inc

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

•		•							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
ANTICANCER, INC.		PFIZER, INC.							
(b) County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.								
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam		Attorneys (If Known) '11 CV0107 JLS RBB							
Matt Valenti, AntiCancer,	Inc., 7917 Ostrow Street, S	San Diego CA 92	2111						
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPA	L PARTIES(I	Place an "X" in Or and One Box for		
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a	a Party)		en of This State		Incorporated or Pring of Business In This	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	28 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 (7) 2	Incorporated and Pr of Business In A		O 5	M 5
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 5 6					
IV. NATURE OF SU		ing a superior of the superior	e e e	DREET URE/PENALTY	PAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATIST	FS
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgmen ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☒ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise — REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 1345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Imployment	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability ERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability RISONER: PETITION 510 Motions to Vacata Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Otl	Y 6 61 62 62 62 62 62 62	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other EABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 10 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 Irawal SC 157 ITWRIGHTS rights t smark SECURITY (1395ff) Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) METAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 400 State Rea □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks ar □ 450 Commer □ 460 Deportat 470 Racketee Corrupt 0 □ 480 Consums □ 490 Cable/5s 810 Selective 810 Securitie Exchang □ 875 Custome 12 USC □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 892 Econom □ 893 Environ □ 894 Energy 1 □ 895 Freedom Act □ 900Appeal o	apportions and Banking ce ion ar Influenc Organizati cr Credit at TV 2 Service cr Challeng 3410 atutory Ac ural Acts ic Stabiliz incental M Allocation of Inform f Fee Dete qual Acce e	g ced and ions dities/ ge ctions ration Act latters h Act nation ermination
□ 2 I		manded from [] pellate Court			ferred from er district ify)	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation	ict \square 7 $^{J_1}_{N}$	appeal to udge from Aagistrat udgment	m. e
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cause	1332(a)(1) e:		(Do not cite jurisdiction Breach of Covenant			na: Uniust Fi	nrichm	 ent
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:		A CLASS ACTION	v D	DEMANDS St \$ 150,000.	C	HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in		
VIII. RELATED CA IF ANY	(See instructions):	ЉGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 01/19/2010 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	m. 1	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		мас. лл	OGE		